

- 1 Division: Workforce Services Division
- 2 Category: WIOA
- 3 Effective Date: 10/01/20184 Last Revised: 07/10/2024
- 5 **Policy No.: 17-18**

6

7

11

12

Performance Measures and Reporting Policy

- Background: Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) quarterly and annual performance reports
- 8 include participants who receive services financially assisted by formula or statewide reserve funds under the
- 9 following programs:
- WIOA Adult Program
 - WIOA Dislocated Worker Program
 - WIOA Youth Program
- Wagner-Peyser
- 14 This policy describes the requirements and process for WIOA program performance reporting. Montana
- 15 Department of Labor & Industry's (MTDLI) Workforce Services Division (WSD) negotiates performance rates with
- the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) biennially.
- 17 Scope: This policy applies to all service providers operating WIOA Title I and Wagner-Peyser programs; WIOA
- 18 Title I and Wagner-Peyser program managers; the reporting analyst, and the monitoring team. This policy is
- 19 effective July 10, 2024.
- 20 Policy:
- 21 Performance Measures and Reporting
- 22 Performance Reporting is completed through submittal of the WIOA PIRL (Participant Individual Record Layout)
- 23 report to USDOL. The report features data on individuals participating in WIOA programs and includes
- demographic, employment and education, service provision, and outcome data. The data is collected from
- program service providers who enter it into the management information system. That information is collected
- 26 and compiled for reporting by the WSD central office and is ultimately used to provide insight into the
- population being served including the number of individuals who find employment through the programs, their
- 28 earnings, and their skills progression over time. Based on this reporting, MTDLI makes informed decisions
- about resource allocation and program improvement, and ensures that the state is adequately equipped to
- 30 serve individuals throughout Montana in their search for meaningful employment and advancement of their
- 31 careers. The PIRL is the mechanism that USDOL utilizes to calculate performance metrics on which to assess
- 32 MTDLI's overall program performance.
- 33 Participation and Exit

35

36

- 34 A. Reportable Individuals
 - Reportable Individuals engage with the workforce system on an initial level but do not meet program requirements for eligibility or for participation. They are individuals who:

- Provide identifying information and
 - o Only use self-service; or
 - Only receive information-only services or activities.
 - Reportable individuals do not have performance goals and cannot be used to impose sanctions on the state. Reportable Individuals will be tracked and reported in MTDLI's annual performance reports but do not help or hurt performance.
 - Participant in WIOA Title I Adult and Dislocated Worker and Title III Wagner-Peyser programs: A
 reportable individual who has received services other than self-service or informational-only services
 and meets all program requirements such as eligibility and the provision of certain demographic and
 other data elements.
 - WIOA Title I, Youth: A reportable individual who satisfied all applicable program requirements for the provision of services, including eligibility determination, an objective assessment, development of an Individual Service Strategy (ISS), and received one or more of the 14 WIOA Youth program elements.
 - Participants are included in performance outcomes. Performance indicators are based on participant experience upon exit from or, as applicable, during participation in a program.

B. Common Exit

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50 51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64 65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 79

80

81 82

83

84

85 86

- Montana utilizes common exit when a participant, enrolled in multiple partner programs, has not
 received services from any USDOL-adminstered program in which the common exit applies. Once ninety
 days have lapsed since the participant last received a countable service with no additional services
 planned, a date of exit can be determined. The exit date is retroactive to the last date of service.
 Montana's common exit applies to the following programs:
- WIOA Adult
- WIOA Dislocated Worker
- WIOA Youth
- Wagner-Peyser Employment Services
- TAA
- DWG
- Self-service, information-only services or activities, and follow-up services do not delay, postpone or affect the date of exit.

C. Exclusions

- Only participants who exited due to one of the following reasons will be excluded from performance calculations:
 - Institutionalized
 - Health/Medical
 - Deceased
 - Reserve forces called to active duty
 - Foster care
 - o Ineligible
 - o Criminal offender

Employment Rate and Median Earnings

A. Employment Rate – 2nd Quarter after Exit

- Adult, Dislocated Worker, and Wagner-Peyser
 - The percentage of program participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the 2nd quarter after exit.
 - The number of participants who exited during the reporting period who are found to be employed, either through direct Unemployment Insurance (UI) wage record match, federal or military employment records, or supplemental wage information, in the 2nd quarter after the exit quarter divided by the number of participants who exited during the reporting period.

Youth:

- The percentage of Youth program participants who are in education or training activities, or in unsubsidized employment, during the 2nd quarter after exit.
- The number of youth participants who exited during the reporting period who are found to be employed, either through direct UI wage record match, federal or military employment records, supplemental wage information, or found to be enrolled in secondary education, postsecondary education or occupational skill training in the 2nd quarter after the exit quarter divided by the number of youth participants who exited the program during the reporting period.

B. Employment Rate – 4th Quarter after Exit

- Adult, Dislocated Worker, and Wagner-Peyser:
- The percentage of program participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the 4th quarter after exit.
 - The number of participants who exited during the reporting period who are found to be employed, either through direct UI wage record match, federal or military employment records, or supplemental wage information, in the 4th quarter after the exit quarter divided by the number of participants who exited during the reporting period.

• Youth:

- The percentage of youth program participants who are in education or training activities, or in unsubsidized employment, during the 4th quarter after exit.
- The number of youth participants who exited during the reporting period who are found to be employed, either through direct UI wage record match, federal or military employment records, supplemental wage information or found to be enrolled in secondary education, postsecondary education, or occupational skill training in the 4th quarter after the exit quarter divided by the number of youth participants who exited the program during the reporting period.

C. Median Earnings – 2nd Quarter after Exit

- The median earnings of program participants who are in unsubsidized employment during the 2nd quarter after exit from the program, as established through direct UI wage match, federal or military employment records, or supplemental wage information.
- The collected quarterly wage information values are listed in order, from the lowest to the highest value. The value in the middle of the list is the median earnings value, where there is the same quantity of numbers above the median number as there is below the median number.
- The following participants are not included in this measure:
 - o Participants who have exited and are not employed in the 2nd quarter after exit.
 - Participants who have exited the program and for who earning s are not yet available. There is a 2-quarter lag in reporting wage outcomes. If after 2 quarters, the person's wages are not available, it is permanently reported as a \$0 which would count as a negative for Employment Rate 2nd quarter and excluded from Median Earnings 2nd quarter because the person is considered not employed.
 - o Participants who have exited a program who have \$0 income.
 - o Participants who have exited a program and are in subsidized employment.
 - o Participants who have exited for any of the "Exclusions".

D. Effectiveness in Serving Employers

• The percentage of participants with wage records who exited a program and were employed by the same employer in the second and fourth quarters after exit.

E. Wage and Supplemental Data Sources

- Use of supplemental wage information is necessary for verifying and reporting on employment-relatedperformance indicators including:
 - Employment Rate 2nd Quarter After Exit
 - Employment Rate 4th Quarter After Exit
 - Median Earnings

140 141 142

143144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151152

153

138

139

To the extent it is consistent with state law, UI wage records will be a primary data source; including private sector, non-profit sector, and government employer wage reports. Certain types of employment, including but not limited to federal, military, and self-employment, are either excluded from the primary sources of data identified above or very difficult MTDLI to access due to data confidentiality. Therefore, MTDLI may use supplemental sources of data to document a participant's entry and retention in employment for those participants not covered by wage records. All supplemental data and methods must be documented and are subject to monitoring. Supplemental data must be added to the appropriate participant data files in MIS to ensure it will be used when calculating performance. Sources of supplemental wage information for the types of employees listed above include:

- Montana's New Hire Reporting Program
- Montana Department of Revenue
- Railroad Retirement System
- State Wage Interchange System (SWIS)

154 155 156

157

158

159 160

161

162

163

164

165

Other allowable sources of supplemental information for tracking employment-related outcomes include but are not limited to:

- Tax documents, payroll records, and employer records such as an employer's quarterly tax return; copies of at least two pay stubs; or a signed employer letter on company letterhead attesting to an individual's employment status and earnings;
- Program participant's follow-up survey;
- Sales commission income;
- Case management notes verified by employer; or
- One-Stop operating systems' administrative records, such as current records of eligibility for programs with income-based eligibility (i.e. Temporary Assistance for Needy Families/TANF);
- Self-employment worksheets signed and attested to by program participants.

166167168

175

176

177178

179 180

181

182

Additional Performance Measures:

- 169 A. Credential Attainment
- 170 For details about credentials as a performance measure, please refer to the Credentials Policy.
- 171 B. Measurable Skill Gains (MSG)
- For details about MSGs as a performance measure, please refer to the Measurable Skill Gains Policy.
- 173 Performance Negotiations and Accountability
- 174 A. Definitions
 - Expected Levels of Performance MTDLI's proposed levels of performance for each of the WIOA primary indicators of performance. These are included in the state plan.
 - Negotiated Levels of Performance performance goals that are mututally agreed upon by MTDLI and USDOL during the negotiations process.
 - Adjusted Levels of Performance the levels of performance calculated by USDOL and determined using
 a statistical adjustment model. The model adjusts negotiated performance levels by factoring in actual
 characteristics of participants served and the actual economic conditions experienced in the state, if
 adjusting the negotiated levels of performance to reflect those factors.

- Actual Level of Performance the outcomes reported by a state on the PIRL for each primary indicator of performance for each core program.
- Individual indicator Score the actual level of performance achieved divided by the adjusted levels of performance.

B. Negotiations Process

183

184 185

186

187 188

189

190 191

192 193

194 195

196

197

198

199

200201

202

203204

205

206207

208209210

211212

213

214215

216

217

218219

220221222

223

224

225226227

228

230 231 MTDLI negotiates performance goals with USDOL biennially for WIOA Adult, Youth, Dislocated Worker, and Wagner-Peyser. The process for negotiating the Montana's WIOA and Wagner Peyser performance indicators is described below:

- MTDLI submits expected levels of performance for two consecutive program years in Montana's State Plan.
- Negotiations between MTDLI and USDOL occur in the spring and must be completed by June 30. During
 this process, the expected levels of performance submitted in the state plan are reviewed using the
 following criteria:
 - How expected levels of performance compare with the negotiated levels of performance for other states.
 - How the expected levels of performance promote continuous improvement in performance accountability measures by the states and ensure optimal return on the investment of federal funds; and
 - How the expected levels of performance will assist the state in meeting the performance goals established by the Secretaries of Education and Labor in accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.
- Upon reaching agreement on negotiated performance levels, USDOL sends official communication to MTDLI detailing the negotiated levels of performance for each program.
- MTDLI updates the state plan with the agreed upon negotiated levels of performance and shares them with stakeholders.

C. Assessment of Performance Goals

At the end of a program year, USDOL assesses the state's annual PIRL report to determine whether a state has passed/failed performance goals. Performance success is determined using the following criteria:

- 1. The individual indicator score: the scores are calculated by dividing the actual level of performance by the adjusted level of performance.
 - States have a performance failure if an overall score is below 50%.
- 2. The overall program score: the average percentage of all individual indicators within a core program
 - States have a performance failure if an overall score is below 90%.
- 3. The overall state indicator score: the individual indicator score for each of the primary indicators of performance across all programs are averaged to calculate the state indicator score.
 - States have a performance failure if an overall score is below 90%.

USDOL compiles their annual assessment report detailing how the adjusted levels of performance were determined and whether a state met the above criteria. A meeting with the state to discuss outcomes will follow. If a state is unable to achieve adjusted levels of performance at the end of a program year, the state will be required to develop a performance improvement plan and will receive technical assistance with USDOL.

D. Determination of Sanctions

- There are two different types of failure that can lead to federal sanctions:
- 229 1. Failure to submit an annual PIRL report
 - Does not submit a state annual PIRL report by the date for timely submission set in performance reporting guidance; or

- Submits a state annual PIRL report by the date for timely submission, but the report is incomplete.
 - Sanctions will not be applied if the reporting failure is due to exceptional circumstances outside of the state's control. Exceptional circumstances may include, but are not limited to:
 - Natural disasters:
 - Unexpected personnel transitions; and
 - Unexpected technology related issues
 - 2. Failure to meet adjusted levels of performance for two consecutive program years.

239240 E. Sanctions

233

234

235

236

237238

241

242

243244

245

246

247

248249

250

251

252

253254

255

256

257

258259

264

265

266

269

270

- USDOL will reduce the Governor's discretionary funds provided under section 128(a) of WIOA by five
 percent of the maximum available amount in the program year immediately succeeding the second
 consecutive year of performance failure. This sanction will be enforced each successive year in which
 the state continues to have the same performance failure.
- If the state has both types of failure that would result in sanctions being applied in the same program year, the Secretaries of Labor and Education will reduce the Governor's Reserve Allotment by 10 percent of the maximum available amount for the immediately succeeding program year.
- If a State's Governor's Reserve Allotment is reduced:
 - The reduced amount will not be returned to the State in the event that the State later improves performance or submits its annual performance report; and
 - The Governor's Reserve will continue to be set at the reduced level in each subsequent year until the Secretary of Labor or the Secretary of Education, depending on which program is impacted, determines that the State met the State adjusted levels of performance for the applicable primary performance indicators and has submitted all of the required performance reports.
 - A State may request review of a sanction the Secretary of Labor imposes in accordance with the provisions of <u>20 CFR 683.800</u>.

F. MTDLI's Negotiated Performance Rates

260 For MTDLI's current negotiated performance rates, please refer to the WIOA State Plan.

261 Technical Assistance:

• Technical assistance will be provided by the Reporting Analyst and Performance Analyst.

263 References:

- Monitoring and Audits Policy
- Data Validation Policy
- MCA 39-51-603 Employing Unit to Keep Records and Make Reports
- TEGL 26-16 Use of Supplemental Wage Information to Implement Performance Accountability
 Requirements under WIOA
 - <u>TEGL 11-19, Change 1 Negotiations and Sanctions</u>
 - Training and Employment Notice 24-23 Effectiveness in Serving Employers